MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name

JULIO SANTIAGO, DC

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-14-3543-01

MFDR Date Received

AUGUST 4, 2014

Respondent Name

SERVICE LLOYDS INSURANCE CO

Carrier's Austin Representative

Box Number 01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "Per one of Corvel customer service representatives, this claim is being denied because our provider did not indicate a finish time on his report. I have spoken with them stating that there is not a guideline indicating that time is a requirement on this report. I have also included the description of the guidelines. They have denied this date of service for a second time stating that they are maintaining their original decision."

Amount in Dispute: \$768.00

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: "Respondent denied reimbursement as the amount of time for this FCE is not documented anywhere within the FCE report. The Requestor submitted a bill for 16 units, or four hours, for the FCE that was performed on March 19, 2014. This is the maximum allowable time for an FCE according to the Texas Fee Schedule. However, listing the maximum units does not equate to reimbursement unless the billed units are supported by the submitted documentation. The documentation submitted in this matter does not substantiate the number of billed units."

Response Submitted By: White Espey, PLLC

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
March 19, 2014	CPT Code 97750-FC (16 units) Functional Capacity Evaluation (FCE)	\$768.00	\$0.00

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204, effective March 1, 2008, sets the reimbursement guidelines for the disputed service.
- 3. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:
 - 105-Additional information needed to review charges.

- FC-Functional Capacity Evaluations.
- 16-Not All Info Needed for Adjudication was Supplied.
- 193-Ofiginal payment decision maintained.
- W3-Appeal/Reconsideration.
- 151-Payment denied. This many svcs not documented.

<u>Issues</u>

- 1. Does the documentation support the level of service billed?
- 2. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for the FCE rendered on March 19, 2014?

Findings

1. This dispute relates to services with reimbursement subject to the provisions of 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204.

On the disputed date of service, the requestor billed CPT code 97750-FC.

The American Medical Association (AMA) Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) defines CPT code 97750 as "Physical performance test or measurement (eg, musculoskeletal, functional capacity), with written report, each 15 minutes."

The requestor appended modifier "FC" to code 97750. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(n)(3) states "The following Division Modifiers shall be used by HCPs billing professional medical services for correct coding, reporting, billing, and reimbursement of the procedure codes. (3) FC, Functional Capacity-This modifier shall be added to CPT Code 97750 when a functional capacity evaluation is performed".

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.204(g) states "The following applies to Functional Capacity Evaluations (FCEs). A maximum of three FCEs for each compensable injury shall be billed and reimbursed. FCEs ordered by the Division shall not count toward the three FCEs allowed for each compensable injury. FCEs shall be billed using CPT Code 97750 with modifier "FC." FCEs shall be reimbursed in accordance with §134.203(c)(1) of this title. Reimbursement shall be for up to a maximum of four hours for the initial test or for a Division ordered test; a maximum of two hours for an interim test; and, a maximum of three hours for the discharge test, unless it is the initial test. Documentation is required. FCEs shall include the following elements:

- (1) A physical examination and neurological evaluation, which include the following:
- (A) appearance (observational and palpation):
- (B) flexibility of the extremity joint or spinal region (usually observational);
- (C) posture and deformities;
- (D) vascular integrity;
- (E) neurological tests to detect sensory deficit;
- (F) myotomal strength to detect gross motor deficit; and
- (G) reflexes to detect neurological reflex symmetry.
- (2) A physical capacity evaluation of the injured area, which includes the following:
- (A) range of motion (quantitative measurements using appropriate devices) of the injured joint or region; and
- (B) strength/endurance (quantitative measures using accurate devices) with comparison to contralateral side or normative database. This testing may include isometric, isokinetic, or isoinertial devices in one or more planes.
- (3) Functional abilities tests, which include the following:
- (A) activities of daily living (standardized tests of generic functional tasks such as pushing, pulling, kneeling, squatting, carrying, and climbing);
- (B) hand function tests that measure fine and gross motor coordination, grip strength, pinch strength, and manipulation tests using measuring devices;
- (C) submaximal cardiovascular endurance tests which measure aerobic capacity using stationary bicycle or treadmill; and
- (D) static positional tolerance (observational determination of tolerance for sitting or standing)."

A review of the submitted medical bill indicates that the requestor billed for sixteen units, which equals four hours. The respondent denied reimbursement based upon the documentation did not support the number of units billed. A review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor noted "Start Time: 2:00 pm", however, the end time, or the time it took to complete the different elements of the FCE, or the total time it took to complete the FCE is not listed. The Division finds that the requestor has not supported billing the 16 units. As a result, reimbursement is not recommended.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 reimbursement for the disputed services.

Authorized Signature

		04/24/2015	
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date	

YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, **applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012**.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.